vss

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.431 OF 2004

Shri Keshav Anandram Chitra ... Petitioner

V/s.

Municipal Corporation of
Ulhasnagar & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.J.S. Chandnani for Petitioner
Mr.Vijay Patil for Respondent No.1
Mr.R.M. Agrawal for Respondent No.5

CORAM: **SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATED: **OCTOBER 7, 2005**

P.C.:

. The contempt complained of in this Petition is that the order of December 17, 2003 has been breached by the Municipal Corporation of the City of Ulhasnagar, After several orders have been passed by this Court, an affidavit has been filed by the Deputy Municipal Commissioner of the Corporation stating that notice has been issued under sections 54, 53 r/w section 52 of the Maharashtra Regional Town Planning Act, 1966 and under section 260, 267 of the BPMC Act 1949 to Respondent No.5. This notice is issued on 1.9.2005. A copy of the notice is annexed to the affidavit Respondent No.5 has filed his reply to the same.

2. Mr.Patil, learned Advocate appearing for the Respondent-Corporation states that action will be taken in accordance with law in respect of the notice which has already been served to Respondent No.5. In view of this statement being made by Mr.Patil, which is accepted, nothing survives in the contempt petition. Further, Mr.Patil also states that a hearing has been fixed in respect of the notice on 13.10.2005. He states that the Corporation after giving the hearing will dispose off the matter within four weeks thereafter. This statement is also accepted.

3. Contempt Petition is disposed off accordingly.